



Further Resource Extensions At Nebo

HIGHLIGHTS

- **Strike extensions found to the Sugar Lode**
- **Continuity of massive sulphide demonstrated in the central Nebo area**
- **Program has successfully demonstrated additional discovery potential at Nebo**
- **Resource update and mine optimisation to follow in FSS**

Cassini Resources Limited (ASX:CZI) ("Cassini" or the "Company") is pleased to provide final results from Nebo drilling as part of an 11 hole infill and extension program at Nebo and Babel. Nebo-Babel is within the West Musgrave Project ("WMP" or the "Project"), located in Western Australia and part of a Earn-in/JV Agreement with OZ Minerals Limited (ASX:OZL) ("OZ Minerals").

Sugar Lode Extensions

The remaining assays for 3 holes at Nebo have now been received. Results include another excellent outcome from the Sugar Lode, located in the northern "roll-over zone" at Nebo. Hole CZC0163A returned a best result of 7m @ 1.00%Ni, 1.45% Cu, 0.02% Co and 0.93g/t PGE, including 3m @ 1.87% Ni, 1.87% Cu, 0.03% Co and 1.76g/t PGE. The PGE grades in this intersection are particularly promising and some of the highest recorded in the deposit.

The Sugar Lode was discovered by Cassini in 2014 and included drill intercepts of 46m @ 0.98% Ni, 0.53% Cu, 0.03% Co and 0.22g/t PGE in hole CZC0053 (not true width) and 7m @ 0.98% Ni, 1.13% Cu, 0.03% Co and 0.30g/t PGE in CZC0024. It remains open to the west and east and is a priority for exploration due to the high-grade nature of mineralisation and the minimal amount of drill testing to date. These results add to the exploration target at the open eastern extension of the host intrusion as demonstrated in CZC0166 with 3m @ 1.80% Ni, 0.21% Cu, 0.06% Co & 0.21g/t PGE, outlined in ASX release on 8 June 2017 (Figure 1).

Continuity of Massive Sulphide within Nebo

A single hole was drilled to test the continuity of high-grade mineralisation between 100m spaced sections in the main Nebo orebody. CZC0164 has confirmed the high-grade continuity by returning 7m @ 1.29% Ni, 0.41% Cu, 0.04% Co and 0.30g/t PGE. This result will allow more accurate modelling of the high-grade core of the deposit.

CZC0165 was drilled outside the existing resource and returned modest results, including 2m @ 0.67% Ni, 0.52% Cu, 0.02% Co & 0.10g/t PGE. The target was a strong down hole electromagnetic anomaly on the southern margin of the deposit, however the hole path deviated during drilling and has probably missed the target, being the body of the conductor.

Downhole Electromagnetic surveys are planned for all holes later in 2017 which will assist with interpreting results and may define additional targets.




FIGURE 1. Nebo Deposit plan showing all recent drill results and remaining targeting opportunities.

Nebo Resource Model Update

The program has successfully highlighted the potential for additional resource growth at Nebo warranting a more thorough resource definition and exploration drill program during later study phases.

With all results from Nebo now received, remodelling of the Nebo Resource will begin. The new model is not expected to significantly vary the 2015 resource estimate in terms of contained nickel and copper but it will provide a greater level of confidence around the geological and weathering domains. The Company has engaged independent consultancy group Golder Associates to undertake this work.

Results of the Babel program are expected to be returned shortly. New mine design and schedules will follow completion of both the Nebo and Babel resource updates.




FIGURE 2. Sugar Lode (CZC0163A) cross-section.

Table 1. Nebo Significant drill intercepts.

HOLE ID	East	North	RL	Dip	Azi	EOH (m)	From (m)	Width (m)	Intersection				
									Ni %	Cu %	Co %	PGE g/t	
CZC0163A	371851	7114006	476	-60	185	330	100	7	0.55	1.03	0.01	0.26	
							Including	101	1	1.57	2.22	0.03	0.24
								146	2	0.29	0.82	0.01	0.20
								151	6	0.62	0.68	0.02	0.33
							Including	152	1	2.25	0.13	0.05	0.71
								198	7	1.00	1.45	0.02	0.93
							Including	201	3	1.87	1.87	0.03	1.76
								224	2	0.91	0.18	0.03	0.19
								257	2	0.30	0.51	0.01	0.31
CZC0164	371868	7113149	469	-60	360	204	115	7	1.29	0.41	0.04	0.30	
							Including	116	3	2.20	0.55	0.06	0.31
								133	7	0.42	0.33	0.01	0.17
CZC0165	372713	7112885	473	-60	360	312	220	2	0.67	0.52	0.02	0.10	
								247	2	0.39	0.47	0.01	0.28
								283	2	0.36	0.43	0.01	0.30

For further information, please contact:

Richard Bevan
Managing Director

Cassini Resources Limited
Telephone: +61 8 6164 8900
E-mail: admin@cassiniresources.com.au

About the Company

Cassini Resources Limited (ASX: CZI) is a base and precious metals developer and explorer based in Perth. In April 2014, the Company acquired its flagship West Musgrave Project (WMP), located in Western Australia. The WMP is a world-class asset which currently has over 850,000 tonnes of contained nickel and 1.8 million tonnes of contained copper in Resource. The WMP is a new mining camp with three existing nickel and copper sulphide deposits and a number of other significant regional exploration targets already identified. The WMP is the largest undeveloped nickel copper project in Australia.

In August 2016, Cassini entered into a three-stage \$36M Earn-in/Joint Venture (JV) agreement with prominent Australian mining company OZ Minerals Ltd (ASX: OZL). The JV provides a clear pathway to a decision to mine and potential cash flow for the Company.

Cassini is also progressing its Mt Squires Gold Project in WA and an early stage zinc exploration project in the West Arunta region of WA.

Current Highlights:

- Cassini's West Musgrave project contains one of Australia's largest undeveloped nickel/copper deposits
- Cassini is free carried to a "decision to mine" via a 3 stage A\$36m Earn-in/Joint Venture agreement with OZ Minerals
- Previous Scoping Study presented highly attractive economics, supporting a long life, open pit development
- Significant exploration upside across portfolio with Succoth Copper deposit and multiple other mineralised targets identified at additional deposits
- High impact A\$8m regional exploration program to be executed in Stages 2 and 3 of the joint venture
- Track record of prudent investment and capital management with a CY2016 exploration / administration ratio of 1.5x (compares favourably to peer group average of 0.9x)¹

Leveraged to increases in nickel prices with reducing global inventories and a looming supply shortage, as well as significant exposure to copper.

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled or reviewed by Mr Greg Miles, who is an employee of the company. Mr Miles is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists and has sufficient experience of relevance to the styles of mineralisation and the types of deposits under consideration, and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Miles consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on information in the form and context in which it appears.

The Company is not aware of any new information or data, other than that disclosed in this report, that materially affects the information included in this report and that all material assumptions and parameters underpinning Exploration Results, Mineral Resource Estimates and Production Targets as reported in the market announcements dated 13, April 2015 and 7 December 2015, continue to apply and have not materially changed.

APPENDIX A

West Musgrave Project Mineral Resources Statement^{1,2}

Prospect	Classification	Tonnes (Mt)	Ni (%)	Cu (%)	Co (ppm)	Au (ppm)	Pt (ppm)	Pd (ppm)
Nebo	Indicated	25.8	0.52	0.46	215	0.05	0.07	0.09
	Inferred	3.0	0.60	0.48	229	0.04	0.08	0.10
	Total	28.9	0.53	0.46	217	0.05	0.07	0.09
Babel	Indicated	69.7	0.39	0.42	139	0.07	0.10	0.12
	Inferred	104.5	0.38	0.40	135	0.08	0.11	0.12
	Total	174.2	0.39	0.41	137	0.08	0.11	0.12
Nebo + Babel	Total	203.1	0.41	0.42	148	0.08	0.10	0.12
Succoth	Inferred	156	0.06	0.60	-	0.02	0.04	0.11

NOTES:

1. Nebo-Babel Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resource (0.3%Ni cut-off), February 2015
2. Succoth Deposit Inferred Mineral Resource estimate (0.3% Cu cut-off), December 2015

ANNEXURE 1:

The following Tables are provided to ensure compliance with the JORC Code (2012) edition requirements for the reporting of the Exploration Results at the Nebo deposit.

Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i>	The Nebo deposit was sampled using Reverse Circulation (RC) drill holes. Drill holes tested separate target and were not completed on a grid. A total of four RC drillholes for 1,138 m were completed at Nebo.
	<i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i>	Drill hole locations were surveyed by handheld GPS units. The RC samples have been obtained by a cone splitter. Sampling has been carried out under Cassini protocols and QAQC procedures as per industry best practice.
	<i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i>	Reverse Circulation drilling was used to obtain 1m samples for Nebo from which approximately 3 kg was pulverised (total prep) to produce a sub sample for analysis by fused bead XRF for base metals and all other major and trace elements of interest. Gold, Pt and Pd were determined by FA/AAS finish (40 gram).
Drilling techniques	<i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple of standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is orientated and if so, by what method, etc).</i>	Reverse Circulation accounts for 100% of the drilling completed and comprises 140 mm diameter face sampling hammer drilling. Hole depths range from 42 to 330m.
Drill sample recovery	<i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i>	RC recoveries are visually logged and recorded in the database. Overall recoveries are >95% and there has been no significant sample recovery problems.
	<i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i>	RC samples are routinely checked for recovery, moisture and contamination.
	<i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	The massive sulphide style of the mineralisation and the consistency of the mineralised intervals are considered to preclude any issue of sample bias due to material loss or gain.
Logging	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i>	Drill chip samples have been geologically logged and the level of understanding of these variables increases with the maturity of the prospect.
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i>	Logging of RC samples at the West Musgraves Project recorded lithology, mineralogy, mineralisation, weathering, colour and other relevant features of the samples. Logging of chips is both qualitative (eg. colour) and quantitative (eg. mineral percentages).
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	All drillholes were logged in full.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	Not applicable as samples are non-core.
	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	RC samples were collected on the rig using cone splitters. All samples in mineralised zones were dry.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	The sample preparation of RC samples at the West Musgraves Project follows industry best practice in sample preparation involving oven drying, followed by pulverisation of the entire sample (total prep) using Essa LM5 grinding mills to a grind size of 90% passing 75 micron.
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i>	Field QC procedures involves the use of certified reference material (CRM) as assay standards, along with blanks and duplicates. The insertion rate of these averaged 1:20.
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	Field duplicates were taken on 1m intervals directly from the cone splitter on the drill rig.
	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	Sample sizes are considered appropriate for the rock type, style of mineralisation (massive sulphides), the thickness and consistency of the intersections, the sampling methodology and percent value assay ranges for the primary elements at Nebo.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	The analytical techniques used fused bead XRF for base metals and all other major and trace elements of interest. Gold, Pt and Pd were determined by FA/AAS finish (40 gram).
	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i>	Hand held assay devices have not been reported.
	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	Sample preparation for fineness were carried by the laboratory as part of their internal procedures to ensure the grind size of 90% passing 75 micron was being attained. Laboratory QAQC involves the use of internal lab standards using certified reference material, blanks, splits and replicates as part of the in-house procedures. Certified reference materials, having a good range of values, were inserted blindly and randomly. Results highlight that sample assay values are accurate and that contamination has been contained. Repeat or duplicate analysis for samples reveals that precision of samples is within acceptable limits.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	Chips have been viewed by contract and Cassini geology staff.
	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	The reported drill holes have not been twinned.
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	Primary data was collected for the West Musgrave Project using a set of standard Field Marshal templates on laptop computers using lookup codes. The information was sent to Geobase Australia for validation and compilation into a SQL database server.
	<i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	No adjustments or calibrations were made to any assay data
Location of data points	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	Reported holes have been located with a Garmin hand-held GPS and are assumed to be accurate to ±5m. This is considered appropriate for exploration drill holes. Downhole surveys were completed at 12 m then every 30m down hole.
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	The grid system for the West Musgraves Project is MGA_GDA95, Zone 52.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	The tenement package exhibits subdued relief with undulating hills and topographic representation is sufficiently controlled.
Data spacing and distribution	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	The holes drilled were for exploration purposes and have not been drilled on a grid pattern. Drill hole spacing is considered appropriate for exploration purposes.
	<i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i>	The mineralised domains for Nebo and Babel have demonstrated sufficient continuity in both geological and grade continuity to support the definition of Mineral Resources and Reserves, and the classifications applied under the 2012 JORC Code.
	<i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	No compositing was applied.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	Drill holes were planned to intersect the target zones at a perpendicular angle. The orientation of sampling is considered to be unbiased.
	<i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	The orientation of drilling and key mineralised structure is not considered to have introduced sampling bias.
Sample security	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	Sample chain of custody is managed by Cassini. Samples for the West Musgraves Project are stored on site and delivered to Perth by recognised freight service and then to the assay laboratory by a Perth-based courier service. Whilst in storage the samples are kept in a locked yard.
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	A review of the sampling techniques and data was carried out by CSA Global during September 2014. The sampling techniques and data were considered to be of sufficient quality to carry our resource estimation.

Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results (Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i>	Nebo is located wholly within Mining Lease M69/0074. Through wholly owned subsidiary Wirraway Metals and Mining Pty Ltd, Cassini holds 100% of the leases comprising the West Musgrave Project (granted licences M69/0072, M69/0073, M69/0075, E69/1505, E69/1530, E69/2201, E69/2313, E69/3137, E69/3163, E69/3164, E69/3165, E69/3168, E69/3169) over which the previous operator retains a 2% NSR. The tenement sits within Crown Reserve 17614.
	<i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i>	All tenements are in good standing and have an existing Aboriginal Heritage Access Agreements in place. No Mining Agreement has been negotiated.
Exploration done by other parties	<i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	Previous exploration has been conducted by BHP Billiton and WMC. The work completed by BHP Billiton and WMC is considered by Cassini to be of a high standard.
Geology	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	The project lies within the West Musgrave Province of Western Australia, which is part of an extensive Mesoproterozoic orogenic belt. The Nebo-Babel and Succoth deposits lie within mafic intrusions of the Giles Complex (1068Ma) that has intruded into amphibolite facies orthogneiss country rock. Mineralisation is hosted within tubular chonolithic gabbro-norite bodies and are expressed primarily as broad zones of disseminated sulphide and comagmatic or potentially remobilised accumulations of stronger mineralised, matrix to massive sulphides.
Drill hole Information	<p><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> • <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> • <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> • <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> • <i>hole length.</i> 	A table listing this information is provided in the body of this report.
	<i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i>	Not applicable, all information is included.
Data aggregation methods	<i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i>	Weighted averages for the Nebo deposit were calculated using parameters of a 0.4% Ni and/or Cu lower cut-off, minimum reporting length of 2m, maximum length of consecutive internal waste of 2m and the minimum grade of the final composite of 0.4% Ni and/or Cu.
	<i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the</i>	Short lengths of high grade results use a nominal 1% Ni and/or Cu lower cut-off, no minimum reporting length and 2m maximum

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i>	internal dilution.
	<i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>	Metal equivalent values are not reported.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i>	Mineralisation at Nebo is a flat-lying, south-westerly plunging body of variably mineralised mafic rock. Mineralisation is generally intersected with approximate true-width down-hole lengths. Refer to Annexure 1 and Figures in body of text.
Diagrams	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	Refer to Figures in body of text.
Balanced reporting	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	All Ni, Cu, PGE and Co results are reported.
Other substantive exploration data	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	All relevant exploration data is shown on figures, in text and Annexure 1.
Further work	<i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	Cassini and its partner OZ Minerals are currently undertaking advanced scoping study work at the West Musgrave Project. Further resource definition drilling is likely to be conducted during a pre-feasibility study in conjunction with regional exploration programs including reconnaissance drilling and geophysics. All relevant diagrams and inferences have been illustrated in this report.